UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,687	12/28/2005	Shigeto Uchiyama	Q92435	3798
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 06/04/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			MARX, IRENE	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/562,687	UCHIYAMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Irene Marx	1651
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Margon</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-12 is/are withdrawn  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 and 13 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examined 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the orange of the correction of the c	r election requirement. r. epted or b)⊡ objected to by the B drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copie	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/28/5, 4/11/06, 5/1/09.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte

Art Unit: 1651

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

The application should be reviewed for errors.

To facilitate processing of papers at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, it is recommended that the Application Serial Number be inserted on every page of claims and/or of amendments filed.

Applicant's election without traverse electing to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-8 and 13 on 4/2/09 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-8 and 13 are being considered on the merits. Claims 9-12 are withdrawn from consideration as directed to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because this claim reads on the organism per se which is found in nature and thus, is unpatentable to applicant. Consequently, the claim does not embody patentable subject matter as defined in 35 USC 101. See, e.g., American Wood v. Fiber Disintegrating Co., 90 U.S. 566 (1974); American Fruit Growers v. Brogdex Co., 283 U.S. 1 (1931); Funk Brothers Seed. Co. v. Kalo Innoculant Co.., 33 U.S. 127 (1948); Diamond v. Chakrabarty, 206 U.S.P.Q. 193 (1980).

It is suggested that applicant use the language "a biologically pure culture" in connection with the strain to identify a product that is not found in nature and to indicate the hand of man.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the

Application/Control Number: 10/562,687

Art Unit: 1651

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The invention appears to employ a specific strain of *Lactococcus*. It is not clear if the written description is sufficiently repeatable to avoid the need for a deposit. Further it is unclear if the starting materials were readily available to the public at the time of invention.

Page 3

It appears that a deposit was made in this application as filed as noted on page 4 of the specification. However, it is not clear if the deposit meets all of the criteria set forth in 37 CFR 1.801-1.809. Applicant or applicant's representative may provide assurance of compliance with the requirements of 35 U.S.C § 112, first paragraph, in the following manner.

### SUGGESTION FOR DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL

A declaration by applicant, assignee, or applicant's agent identifying a deposit of biological material and averring the following may be sufficient to overcome an objection and rejection based on a lack of availability of biological material.

- 1. Identifies declarant.
- 2. States that a deposit of the material has been made in a depository affording permanence of the deposit and ready accessibility thereto by the public if a patent is granted. The depository is to be identified by name and address.
- 3. States that the deposited material has been accorded a specific (recited) accession number.
- 4. States that all restriction on the availability to the public of the material so deposited will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent.
- 5. States that the material has been deposited under conditions that access to the material will be available during the pendency of the patent application to one determined by the Commissioner to be entitled thereto under 37 CFR 1.14 and 35 U.S.C § 122.
- 6. States that the deposited material will be maintained with all the care necessary to keep it viable and uncontaminated for a period of at least five years after the most recent request for the furnishing of a sample of the deposited microorganism, and in any case, for a period of at least thirty (30) years after the date of deposit for the enforceable life of the patent, whichever period is longer.
- 7. That he/she declares further that all statements made therein of his/her own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001

Art Unit: 1651

of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the instant patent application or any patent issuing thereon.

Alternatively, it may be averred that deposited material has been accepted for deposit under the Budapest Treaty on the International Recognition of the Deposit of Microorganisms for the purpose of Patent Procedure (e.g. see 961 OG 21, 1977) and that all restrictions on the availability to the public of the material so deposited will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent.

Additionally, the deposit must be referred to in the body of the specification and be identified by deposit (accession) number, date of deposit, name and address of the depository and the complete taxonomic description.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Setchell *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 7,396,855).

The claims are directed to a composition comprising a strain of *Lactococcus* which is equol-producing as an essential component.

Art Unit: 1651

Setchell *et al.* disclose a composition comprising a strain of *Lactococcus* which is equol-producing as an essential component. See, e.g., Example 5, which contains soy milk, daidzein and equol.

It is noted that neither the amount of equol nor the amount of *Lactococcus* contained in the composition as claimed.

Therefore, the invention is anticipated by the reference.

Claims 1-8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Setchell *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 7,396,855) taken with Elliott *et al.*, of record.

The claims are directed to a composition comprising a strain of *Lactococcus* which is equol-producing as an essential component.

Setchell *et al.* disclose a composition comprising a strain of *Lactococcus* which is equol-producing as an essential component. See, e.g., Example 5, which contains soy milk, daidzein and equol. It is noted that neither the amount of equol nor the amount of *Lactococcus* contained in the composition as claimed.

The reference differs from the invention as claimed in that the strain disclosed by Setchell et al. is classified as L. lactis rather than L. garvieae has not been given the same deposit number. However, Elliottt et al. adequately demonstrate that the classification boundaries between as L. lactis and L. garvieae are not clearly defined. The close taxonomic status demonstrates that the species are clearly closely related, as evidenced also by their capabilities regarding the biotransformation of daidzein and related compounds into equal in compositions such as milk, and soy milk in particular.

With regard to the specific strain included in the composition, even if the claimed microorganism is not identical to the referenced microorganism with regard to some unidentified characteristics, the differences between that which is disclosed and that which is claimed are considered to be so slight that the referenced microorganism is likely to inherently possess the same characteristics of the claimed microorganism particularly in view of the similar characteristics which they have been shown to share, such as belonging to the same genus *Lactococcus* and producing equol.. Thus the claimed strain would have been obvious to those skilled in the art within the meaning of USC 103.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,687 Page 6

Art Unit: 1651

Accordingly, the claimed invention as a whole was at least *prima facie* obvious at the time the claimed invention was made, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is (571) 272-0919. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Irene Marx/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651